An Analysis of Signalized Intersection and Solution for Applying Real-Time Traffic Control Technologies: A Case Study for Mixed Traffic Condition in Hanoi City

#### **Mr. Hoang Trung Thong**

Institute of Planning and Transportation Engineering National University of Civil Engineering, Vietnam

21 August, 2015

### Main contents

- Overview
- Current situation of intersection in Hanoi City
- Problems and solutions
- A case study in Hanoi City
- Conclusions



# **Overview**



#### How important Intersection issue is?



It leads directly a series of problem on:

- traffic quality
- Environmental pollution
- **Reducing quality of life**

Insection issue : one of the most important problems in Hanoi City recent years.



# Current situation of intersection in Hanoi

#### Number of congestion points

| Year   | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014* |
|--------|------|------|------|-------|
| Number | 124  | 67   | 57   | 46    |

Source: Hanoi DOT, 2013; \*) No.281/TB-VP, Hanoi People's Committee, 2014.

=> The number of congestion points is reducing, but it is still quite high.



#### Number of Intersections in Hanoi

To the end of 2013 (HDOT), Hanoi has 2,150 intersections, in which:

- only 6 interchanges;
- around 214 signalized intersections;
- 33 intersections were installed monitoring camera with 52 cameras of VOV broadcast.

#### **Quality of intersection infrastructure**

- A large number of signalized intersections was old and broken.
- Almost intersections are operating separately, they are not connected together so they can not resolve problems of intersection generally.

# **Problems and solutions**

#### **Intersection problems :**

- The signal time cycle does not reflect axactly the current situation of traffic flow;
- The congestion situation is serious, especially at peak hours;
- The service level of intersection is quite low.





#### **Proposed solution:**

Using **a real-time traffic cycle measure** that reflects the traffic flow situation and does not require a large budget or changing infrastructure.



# A case study: Pham Hung-Me Tri intersection

Pham Hung-Me Tri is a typical signalized intersection. It is located at west of Hanoi City and it has some following advantages:

- larger area, fully channels (4 right lanes are always free), and a pedestrian tunnel;
- A good traffic organisation => no intersection in the conflict area;
- Traffic infrastructure is still good (built in 2011)



• What is the **most effective solution**?





### Surveys content

| No. | Type of survey              | Contents                     |
|-----|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1   | Dimensional survey          | Features of dimension        |
| 2   | Traffic signal timing cycle | Time of phases and cycle     |
| 3   | Traffic volume counting     | Traffic volume in directions |
| 4   | Queue length survey         | Queue length in directions   |

1.







### 2.

# Survey result (1)

| No. | Elements                | Pham Hung – Me Tri Intersection  |
|-----|-------------------------|--|
| 1   | Type of intersection    | <ul> <li>Signalized-intersection</li> <li>Fully channelized intersection (4 channelizing triangular islands &amp; divisional island in each approach)</li> </ul>   |
| 2   | Intersection axes       | <ul><li>2 urban arterial road axes:</li><li>Pham Hung street axis;</li><li>Me Tri-Duong Dinh Nghe street axis.</li></ul>   |
| 3   | Traffic<br>organization | <ul> <li>3 phases-traffic signal;</li> <li>right-turns are always free;</li> <li>Vehicle turns left directly in conflict area (Me Tri-<br/>DD.Nghe axis) &amp; turns left by U-turning at northbound<br/>and southbound of Pham Hung (Pham Hung axis ).</li> </ul> |





# Survey result (2)

Queue length was measured every 5-minutes in peak hours => The queue length is quite long. In overall, **there were 47** and 35 vehicles at AM peak and PM peak.

| step<br>light            | 1      | 2 | 3 | 4      | 5    | 6      | 7      | 8  | 9   | 10     |         |
|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--------|------|--------|--------|----|-----|--------|---------|
| 1 A                      |        |   |   |        |      |        |        |    |     |        |         |
| 2                        |        |   |   |        |      |        |        |    |     | }<br>} |         |
| 3                        |        |   |   |        |      |        |        |    |     | (<br>( | le      |
| traffic<br>flow<br>chart | 1A ¥ / | 1 |   | A<br>R | J VL | 2<br>7 | A<br>R |    | NT- | A<br>R | ) cycle |
| morning                  | 4      | 6 | 3 | 2      | 26   | 3      | 2      | 34 | 3   | 2      | 121     |
| noon                     | 4      | 0 | 3 | 2      | 25   | 3      | 2      | 25 | 3   | 2      | 105     |
| evening                  | 4      | 6 | 3 | 2      | 34   | 3      | 2      | 26 | 3   | 2      | 121     |

Pham Hung-Me Tri intersection is using 3 phase-signal. Signal time cycle is changing over time, but **it does not reflect exactly the situation of flow traffic.** 







# Summary Survey result

#### AM peak

| Dir.                        | Deg. Satn<br>V/C | Ave.<br>Delay (s) | LOS       | Queue<br>length | Aver.<br>Speed<br>(km/h) |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Pham Hung (side of DD.Nghe) |                  |                   |           |                 |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 3                           | 0.778            | 27.5              | С         | 34.5            | 41.4                     |  |  |  |  |
| Duong Dinh Nghe             |                  |                   |           |                 |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 2                           | 0.917            | 58.3              | E         | 31.1            | 30.8                     |  |  |  |  |
|                             | Pha              | m Hung (s         | ide of Me | Tri)            |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 1                           | 0.604            | 28.8              | С         | 17.6            | 40.9                     |  |  |  |  |
| Me Tri                      |                  |                   |           |                 |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 4                           | 0.947            | 52.3              | D         | 46.6            | 32.5                     |  |  |  |  |
| Ove.                        | 0.947            | 39.7              | D         | 46.6            | 36.5                     |  |  |  |  |

#### PM peak

| Dir.                        | Deg. Satn<br>V/C  | Ave.<br>Delay (s) | LOS      | Queue<br>length (m) | Aver.<br>Speed<br>(km/h) |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------|---------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Pham Hung (side of DD.Nghe) |                   |                   |          |                     |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3                           | 0.759             | 25.8              | С        | 19.6                | 42.2                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duong Dinh Nghe             |                   |                   |          |                     |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2                           | 0.909             | 54.5              | D        | 35.1                | 31.9                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|                             | Pha               | n Hung (s         | ide of M | le Tri)             |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1                           | 0.657             | 28.3              | С        | 18.2                | 41.1                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Me Tri                      |                   |                   |          |                     |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4                           | 0.849             | 49.0              | D        | 19.4                | 33.5                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ove.                        | Ove. <b>0.909</b> |                   | D        | 35.1                | 36.8                     |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.

# Problems

Problems:

- The average speed & LOS are very low;
- The queue legth and delay time is very high;
- The congestion situation is serious, especially at peak hours.

 $\Rightarrow$ the main reason:

#### Signal time cycle is not inadequate anymore, it does not reflect exactly the curent traffic situation.

**Application a new real-time signal cycle** is an effective solution, which is much appreciated in aspects of economy, technology and apropos time.

### 5.

# Solution

#### Proposed new signal time cycle

Optimum cycle time:

- Optimum cycle time was calculated by SIDRA INTERSECTION software.
- The optimum cycle time at both AM and PM peak is 70 seconds.



#### Proposed new signal control technology

Sensor control technology system consists of 4 parts:

- sensors cameras that detect cars;
- controllers that use the sensor data to control the lights;
- radios for wireless communication among intersections;
- malfunction management units (MMUs)





# Analysis

Analysis of typical elements after changing time cycle at AM

| Dir.                        | Deg. Satn<br>V/C | Ave.<br>Delay (s) | LOS       | Queue<br>length | Aver.<br>Speed<br>(km/h) |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Pham Hung (side of DD.Nghe) |                  |                   |           |                 |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 3                           | 0.846            | 23.4              | С         | 23.0            | 43.4                     |  |  |  |  |
| Duong Dinh Nghe             |                  |                   |           |                 |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 2                           | 0.934            | 29.7              | С         | 14.0            | 40.4                     |  |  |  |  |
|                             | Pha              | m Hung (s         | ide of Me | Tri)            |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 1                           | 0.702            | 21.9              | С         | 10.6            | 44.3                     |  |  |  |  |
| Me Tri                      |                  |                   |           |                 |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 4                           | 0.937            | 33.4              | С         | 28.8            | 38.9                     |  |  |  |  |
| Ove.                        | 0.937            | 26.8              | С         | 28.8            | 41.8                     |  |  |  |  |

# Analysis of typical elements after changing time cycle at PM

| Dir.                        | Deg. Satn<br>V/C | Ave.<br>Delay (s) | LOS       | Queue<br>length | Aver.<br>Speed<br>(km/h) |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Pham Hung (side of DD.Nghe) |                  |                   |           |                 |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 3                           | 0.814            | 24.3              | C 15.9    |                 | 42.9                     |  |  |  |  |
| Duong Dinh Nghe             |                  |                   |           |                 |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 2                           | 0.925            | 23.9              | С         | 19.8            | 43.1                     |  |  |  |  |
|                             | Pham             | n Hung (s         | ide of Me | e Tri)          |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 1                           | 0.870            | 30.2              | С         | 13.4            | 40.2                     |  |  |  |  |
|                             | Me Tri           |                   |           |                 |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 4                           | 0.899            | 34.8              | С         | 12.8            | 38.4                     |  |  |  |  |
| Ove.                        | 0.925            | 27.4              | С         | 19.8            | 41.5                     |  |  |  |  |

### Performance Judgment



# Before and after using optimum time cycle (AM & PM peak)

|                      | A      | M     | PM     |       |  |
|----------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--|
| Element              | Before | after | Before | after |  |
| LOS                  | D      | С     | D      | С     |  |
| DOS (%)              | 95     | 94    | 91     | 93    |  |
| Avg. delay<br>(s)    | 40     | 27    | 39     | 27    |  |
| Queue length         | 47     | 29    | 35     | 20    |  |
| Avg. speed<br>(km/h) | 37     | 42    | 37     | 42    |  |
| Impact on<br>queue   | - 3    | 8%    | - 43%  |       |  |

# Conclusions

- Therefore, using optimum signal time will help to reduce queue situation as well as average delay timing.
- In addition, it also helps to increase the average vehicle speed and directly to improve the service level of intersection.
- Currently, Hanoi has not any plans to expanse intersections in the city as well as build an intersection network plan, thus applying new real-time traffic control technology solution as mentioned above will play an important role in improvement the traffic situation at intersection.
- It can be applied not only for Pham Hung Me Tri signalized intersection but also for other similar intersections in Vietnam.

# Thank you for your kind attention !!!

**Mr. Hoang Trung Thong** 

Institute of Planning and Transportation Engineering National University of Civil Engineering, Vietnam

